

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/801,273	03/16/2004	Jaime E. Garcia	JK01488A	2972	
28268 7590 03/27/2008 THE BLACK & DECKER CORPORATION			EXAM	EXAMINER	
701 EAST JOPPA ROAD, TW199 TOWSON, MD 21286		LANDRUM, EDWARD F			
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		3724			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/27/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/801,273	GARCIA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Edward F. Landrum	3724	

- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

Status			

<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fron</li> <li>If NO period for reply is spe</li> <li>Failure to reply within the s</li> </ul>	available under the provision on the mailing date of this co scified above, the maximum et or extended period for re office later than three month	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. no 6 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed insulatory period will apply and will copie SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of statutory period will apply and will copie SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of statutory period statutory and statutory and statutory and after the mailing date of this communication, event of them filed, may reduce the after the mailing lead or this communication, event of them.	this communication.
Status			
1) Responsive to	communication(s) 1	led on 11 March 2008.	
2a) This action is F	INAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this appl	ication is in condition	n for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to	the merits is
closed in accor	rdance with the pra-	tice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,3</u> 4	4 and 35 is/are pen	ling in the application.	
4a) Of the abov	re claim(s) is	are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,3</u> 4		eted.	
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s)	are subject to rest	riction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers			
<li>9) The specification</li>	n is objected to by	he Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s)	filed on is/ar	e: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may no	ot request that any ob	jection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(	a).
Replacement dra	awing sheet(s) includi	ng the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 3	37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or dec	claration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form	n PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119		
12) Acknowledgme	nt is made of a clai	n for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ So	me * c) None of:		
<ol> <li>Certified</li> </ol>	copies of the priori	y documents have been received.	
<ol><li>Certified</li></ol>	copies of the priori	y documents have been received in Application No	
3.☐ Copies o	of the certified copie	s of the priority documents have been received in this Natio	onal Stage
application	on from the Interna	ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached	d detailed Office ac	ion for a list of the certified copies not received.	
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cit</li> </ol>	ted (PTO-892)	<ol> <li>Interview Summary (PTO-413)</li> </ol>	

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06)	<ol> <li>Notice of Informal Patent Application (FTC-152)</li> </ol>
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: .

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/801,273

Art Unit: 3724

### DETAILED ACTION

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 4, 6, 7, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito et al (U.S Patent No. 5,357834), hereinafter Ito, in view of Hurn et al (U. S Patent No. 5,850,698), hereinafter Hurn.

Regarding claim 1, Ito teaches (see Figures 1-3) a miter saw (1) comprising a base (2) having a turn-table (3), a work piece positioning fence (6) attached to the base (2), a cutting assembly (21) pivotally attached to the miter saw. A motor (41) is drivingly connected to the arbor (33) of the saw blade (36) and configured so as to not contact any portion of the base or fence (6) when the mitering at least 45 degrees from a plane substantially perpendicular to the work piece positioning fence (see Figure 2; Col. 6, lines 1-20). The motor (41) has a motor shaft (42) and a gear assembly (42a and 39) that transfers rotational motion from the motor shaft (42) to the arbor (33). A gear housing (32 and 35) covers the motor shaft, arbor, and gears, has a portion directly below the motor shaft (42) that is exposed, and extends in one direction higher than the arbor. The arbor (33) does not extend beyond the motor shaft (42). The gear (39) connected to the arbor (33) is a bevel gear. A blade guard (31) surrounds the blade.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,273

Art Unit: 3724

Regarding claim 4, Ito teaches (see Figure 3) the gear housing/box (32 and 35) tapers in a direction of the base.

Regarding claim 7, Ito teaches (see Figure 1) the miter saw is a slide-type miter saw.

Ito teaches all of the elements of the current invention as stated above except the use of a gear assembly and a motor orientated substantially perpendicular to the arbor of the saw.

Hurn teaches (see Figure 2) a motor (15) oriented substantially perpendicular to an arbor (21) in a circular saw while still not allowing the arbor to extend beyond the motor shaft. A gear assembly is used to transfer the rotational power of the motor to the saw blade (28).

It would have been obvious to have modified Ito to incorporate the teachings of Hurn to use an established method of transferring the rotational motion generated by the motor to the saw blade while still allowing the saw blade to bevel to the degree that was originally presented by Ito.

 Claims 2, 3, 34, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the modified device of Ito, as stated in section 2, in view of Avakian (U.S Patent No. 3,611,859) or Allemann (U.S Patent No. 2,925,104).

The modified device of Ito teaches all of the elements of the current invention as stated above except for the gear assembly containing a helical and bevel gears as well as a jackshaft. The jack shaft being rotatably connected to the second bevel gear and a helical gear set disposed between the jack shaft and the motor shaft.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,273

Art Unit: 3724

Avakian teaches (Col. 2, lines 20-27) a first helical gear that is mounted to a rotating shaft. The first helical gear rotates a second helical gear that is attached to a jack shaft. The jack shaft is attached to a bevel gear which drives a gear box.

Allemann teaches (see Figure 1) a first helical gear (22), which is mounted to a motor shaft (20) that drives a second helical gear (24). The second helical gear drives a jack shaft (26) that in turn rotates a second bevel gear (52) attached to the jack shaft (26). The second bevel gear (52) meshes with a first bevel gear (54) that is mounted to an arbor (50) to rotate a saw (46).

It would have been obvious to have modified the modified device of Ito to incorporate the teachings of Avakian or Allemann to incorporate a helical/bevel gear assembly with a jack shaft between each assembly for the purpose of transferring rotational motion generated by the motor, which is offset from the rotational axis of the saw, to the arbor and still allow the location of the motor to not interfere with the ability of the saw to bevel. This would allow a user to move the motor, which could burn or shock a user if touched, away from any location that a user would normally touch on the saw.

4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the modified device of Ito, as stated in section 2, in view of Hollinger et al (U.S Patent No. 6,615,701), hereinafter Hollinger.

The modified device of Ito teaches all of the elements of the current invention as stated above except for a trunnion being disposed between the cutting assembly and the turntable so as to permit the cutting assembly to bevel with respect to the base.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,273

Art Unit: 3724

Hollinger teaches (Col. 4, lines 50-51; also see Figure 4) a trunnion (32) mounted between the base and the cutting assembly.

It would have been obvious to have modified the modified device of Ito to incorporate the teachings of Hollinger to use a trunnion for the purpose of allowing the cutting assembly to easily be moved to different angular orientations with respect to the base so a user could use the saw to make a variety of different cuts.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7, 34 and 35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The portion of the housing directly below the motor shaft is exposed as there is a gap between the housing and the motor shaft and therefore the portion of the housing is exposed to air and anything else that could potentially come in contact with the housing portion.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Stumpf et al (U.S Patent No. 5,943,931), Brunson et al (U.S Publication No. 2001/0042429), Brunson (U.S Patent No. 6,474,206), Sasaki et al (U.S Patent No. 5,564,323), Bergler (U.S Patent No. 4,537,105), Itzov (U.S Patent No. 5,865,079), and Mckeage (U.S Patent No. 1,803,068) all teach miter saws including various elements of the disclosed invention.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/801,273
Art Unit: 3724

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward F. Landrum whose telephone number is 571-272-5567. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/E. F. L./ Examiner, Art Unit 3724 3/19/2008 /Boyer D. Ashley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3724